## WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	••			
Omar Garcia Moreno		Case Number:	15-9092MJ	
was present a	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 and represented by counsel. I conclude by a r the detention of the defendant pending tria FII	a preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unit	ed States or lawfully admitted for	permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charge	ed offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces re Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the deported or otherwise removed.	s removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been		
	The defendant has no significant contact	s in the United States or in the D	sistrict of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the Ucalculated to assure his/her future appear	e United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably bearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal histor	y.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant be substantial family ties to Mexico.	out has no substantial ties in Arizo	ona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	nforcement contact by fleeing fro	om law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	orisonment.	
The ( Court at the ti	Court incorporates by reference the material ime of the hearing in this matter, except as i	findings of the Pretrial Services noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendan No condition or combination of condition		earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correctior pending appe order of a cou	defendant is committed to the custody of the ns facility separate, to the extent practicable eal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasourt of the United States or on request of an a leliver the defendant to the United States Ma	, from persons awaiting or serving pnable opportunity for private cor attorney for the Government, the arshal for the purpose of an appe	ng sentences or being held in custody insultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
		ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	etniet Count it is soonselle need on it like	
to deliver a co District Court. from the date	ORDERED that should an appeal of this decopy of the motion for review/reconsideration. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., exports of service of a copy of this order or after the the district court. Failure to timely file object.CRIM.P.	to Pretrial Services at least one effective December 1, 2009, Defee oral order is stated on the record	day prior to the hearing set before the endant shall have fourteen (14) days or d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Service	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ces sufficiently in advance of the hearing be investigate the potential third party custodia	fore the District Court to allow Pr		
	March 24, 2015	9	Swillest	
D. (1 L	maion 27, 2010	Unit	Eileen S. Willett ted States Magistrate Judge	